

A Novel Approach for Interactive Debugging of Dynamic Dataflow Embedded Applications

Kevin Pouget^{†*}, Patricia López-Cueva^{†*}, Miguel Santana[†]and Jean-François Méhaut^{*}

*University of Grenoble, CEA, LIG and [†]STMicroelectronics



Coimbra

Portugal

SAC — Symposium on Applied Computing Embedded Systems Special Track

Debugging multicore applications running on embedded platforms is challenging. Dynamic dataflow programming makes it a daunting task.

Embedded System Development

HD Multimedia Applications

March 18-22 2013

- \Rightarrow High performance expectations
- ► H.265 HEVC
- Augmented reality

Sharp Time-To-Market Rqrmts

- \Rightarrow Important demand for:
- Powerful parallel architectures:
 - MultiProcessor-on-Chip (MPSoC)
- Convenient programming models:

Objective

Provide debugger users with means to better understand the state of the dataflow execution and easily reach key transition events.

- ► 4K digital television
- ▶ ...

- Dynamic dataflow programming
- Efficient verif. and valid. tools:
 - Our research contribution

Contribution

Dataflow Aware Debugging



- ► Alternative to von Neumann imperative model (↔ C/ASM)
- Instructions executed when their operands are ready, not when the instruction pointer (aka. program counter, %PC) reaches it
- \Rightarrow Inherently parallel
- $\times\,$ HW did not follow the trend
- \Rightarrow Only hybrid imperative/object + dataflow frameworks available

Decidable Dataflow

Correctness analysis





- Deadlock-free static scheduling
- Powerful optimization

Dynamic Dataflow

- Strong constraints imposed to dev.
- Reduced expressiveness
 - no dynamic problem

Graph of dataflow data-dependencies

- Breakpoints on dataflow-related events
- Information about actor interactions
- Hide the inherent complexity of system low-level aspects
 - ► focus on the execution of user-relevant code

Increased modeling flexibility

- Conditional token emission/rcption
- Variable actor input/output rates



- Adaptive signal processing but:
- Debugging is not straightforward ③
 - WORK() { /* dyn_filter.c */
 flg = ctlr.next()
 if (flg)
 dat = ctlr.next()
 out_1.send(treat(dat))

else

ctr = ctlr.next()
for (i in 0:ctr)
 nxt = in.next()
 out_2.send(treat(nxt))

Proof-of-Concept Environment

The Gnu Debugger — GDB

- \blacktriangleright Extendable with PYTHON API
- Adapted to low level debugging
- Patches contributed to FSF

Predicated Execution Dataflow — PEDF

- P2012 dataflow framework
- Dynamic dataflow model
- Designed to exploit heterogeneity

Platform 2012 — P2012







Dataflow Debugging Challenges

Single-threaded applications
 sequential exec controlled by %PC

- only one execution context
- simple flow-control mechanisms:
 - functions, if-conditions, loops

Multi-threaded applications

- multi-sequential execution
- ▶ system view: 1 thrd \implies 1 filter
- flat organization:
 - no inter-thread relationship

Dataflow applications

Graph-Based Architect.

Token-Based Execution No

- pred_controller vcfg pipe
- concurrent filt^r exec.
 - no function calls
 - tokens sent/received
- Non-Linear Instructions
 after this instruction:
 out_1.send(treat(dat)),
 - dyn_filr continues
- ▶ out_1 can run

- ST/CEA MPSoC research platform
- Heterogeneous environment
- ► 4*x*16 CPU OS-less computing fabric

Perspectives

- Investigate other programming models
 - OpenCL and GPU computing
- Generalize the approach to programming-model centric debugging
- Use visualization tools to better represent the execution details
- Analyze performance slowdown vs. bug localization speedup

*firstname.lastname@imag.fr http://www.liglab.fr/

[†]firstname.lastname@st.com http://www.st.com/